# Towards Understanding the Impact of Length in Web Search Result Summaries over a Speech-only Communication Channel

## Johanne R. Trippas, Damiano Spina, Mark Sanderson, Lawrence Cavedon



{johanne.trippas, damiano.spina, mark.sanderson, lawrence.cavedon}@rmit.edu.au 38th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval Santiago, Chile. August 9–13, 2015



# Search Results via Audio

What if output of search results were presented via a speech-only communication channel?

# Challenges

- Cognitive processing / memory limitations
- Slow, sequential, transient communication channel



- Hands-free activities
- > Mobile/wearable technology, smart environments
- Vision impaired / low-literacy populations

- No 'skimming', no memory aid
- Reading out text search results lists is ineffective
- Complex speech-enabled apps use screen for results lists

# **Research Questions**

> How to better support processing of spoken results lists • What is impact of **length** of results summaries? • Are shorter spoken summaries as effective and **preferred** as longer more informative summaries?





User preferences from

crowdsourcing platform

• Post-task and exit questionnaires

## **Experimental Design**

Varying summary length, compare effectiveness and preference of users

## > TREC 2013 Web Track queries



- Search Result Summaries
  - Full: Google-generated text summaries for top 5 results
  - **Truncated**: Manually selected subset of contiguous 9 words in 'Full' Ο
- Text summaries as baseline

A) The search results you will hear answer the query:

What are the health benefits associated with eating dark chocolate?

## Link to MP3

Did you hear a voice speak in the audio? 💼 Yes, I did. No, I didn't

The search results I heard are informative.

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree

### 2. The search results give me a good overview of the available options

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree

### The search results give me enough information to select the most relevant result

Strongly disagree O O O O Strongly		5	5
	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	0

### 4. The search results are presented in a way that is easy to understand.

Strongly disagree O O O Strongly agree		1	2	3	4	5	
	Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree

### I am confident I can recall the search results that I heard

Truncated

529

512

514

527

499

600

	1	2	3	4	5	
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	Strongly agree



**Text Summaries** 

Full

Truncated

**Audio Summaries** 

Full

Conclusions



> No preference for longer summaries over shorter (or vice versa)

Real differences between single-facet (simple) vs. faceted (complex) queries

> Need for more sophisticated (interactive?) approaches for result-presentation over audio for faceted queries

🥖 realthing

 $\chi^2$  goodness-of-fit, \* represents statistically significant difference (p>0.01)

Acknowledgments. The Australian Research Council. Real Thing Entertainment Pty Ltd. Bruce Croft for valuable feedback. SIGIR for a Microsoft Research travel grant.